

Practitioner's Docket No. AP 8985

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Rolf Weiler et al.

Serial No.: 09/194,991

Group Art Unit: 2834

Filed: 9 July 1999

Examiner: Joseph Waks

For: ELECTROMECHANICALLY ACTUATED PARKING BRAKE FOR MOTOR VEHICLES

Attorney Docket No.: AP 8985 (64098-0612)

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2. Applicant is
[] a small entity. A statement:
[] is attached.
[] was already filed.
[X] other than a small entity.

FAX COPY RECEIVED

SEP 25 2000

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

EXTENSION OF TERM

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))


I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

- ☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

FACSIMILE

- ☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.


Signature

Date: September 25, 2000

Alexander D. Rabinovich
(type or print name of person certifying)

(Amendment Transmittal—page 1 of 4)

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (106) O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) ☐ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136
(fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

	Extension (months)	Fee for other than <u>small entity</u>	Fee for <u>small entity</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	two months	\$ 380.00	\$ 190.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	three months	\$ 870.00	\$ 435.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	four months	\$ 1,360.00	\$ 680.00

Fee: \$ 110.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

☐ An extension for _____ months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of \$ _____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$ _____

OR

(b) ☐ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. § 1.116(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col.1)		(Col.2)	(Col.3)	SMALL ENTITY			OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee	OR	Addit. Fee
Total	34	Minus	37	=	x \$9 =	\$		x \$18 = \$ 0.00
Indep.	8	Minus	3	= 5	x \$39 =	\$		x \$78 = \$390.00
[] First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim					+ \$130 =	\$		+ \$260 = \$
						Total Addit. Fee	OR	Total Addit. Fee
						\$		\$390.00

- * If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
 - ** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".
 - *** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".
- The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

WARNING: "After final rejection or action (§ 1.113) amendments may be made canceling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. § 1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

(c) [] No additional fee for claims is required.

OR

(d) [X] Total additional fee for claims required \$_____.

FEE PAYMENT

5. [] Attached is a check in the sum of \$_____.
- [X] Charge Account No. 18-0013 the sum of \$ 500.00.
- A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. ☒ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 18-0013.

AND/OR

- ☒ If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 18-0013.


SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No.37,425

Tel. No.248 594-0622

Alexander D. Rabinovich
(type or print name of practitioner)
Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC
39533 Woodward Ave., Suite 140
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

R0094120

PATENTS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#12/B

In re application of: Rolf Weiler et al.

Serial No.: 09/194,991

Group Art Unit: 2834

Filed: 9 July 1999

Examiner: Joseph Waks

For: ELECTROMECHANICALLY ACTUATED PARKING BRAKE
FOR MOTOR VEHICLES

Attorney Docket No.: AP 8985 (64098-0612)

Paper: 12

FAX COPY RECEIVED

Assistant Director for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

SEP 25 2000

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR § 1.111

Dear Sir:

In response to Office action (Paper 11) mailed on 24 May 2000, please amend the application as follows:

✓ **In the Specification:**

✓ Abstract of the Disclosure, line 1: delete "The invention proposes an" and insert --

An -- therefor.

✓ Abstract of the Disclosure, line 2: delete "that".

✓ Abstract of the Disclosure, line 6: delete "the invention proposes that".

✓ Abstract of the Disclosure, line 7: insert -- a -- between "in" and "hollow".

✓ **In the Claims:**

✓ Cancel claims 1 (38), 10 (47), 26-28 (63-65), 30 (67), and 31 (68) without prejudice.

B' 2 (39). (Once amended) Electromechanical component for actuating a vehicle parking brake [according to claim 38] of the type including a drum brake including two